

Andrews University Internship Program of Nutrition & Dietetics

Written Case Study Rubric

Intern's name: Rebekah Vukovich Major: 1st Minor: 2nd Minor:

Category	Excellent (5)	Acceptable (4)	Needs Improvement (3)	Raw Score	Weight	Final Score
Introduction	The introduction presents the overall topic and draws the reader into the presentation.	The introduction presents the overall topic somewhat clearly and draws the reader into the presentation.	The introduction does not present the overall topic clearly. The reader is not drawn to the presentation.	5	1	5
Comments:						
Content/knowledge*	The content is written clearly and concisely with logical progression of ideas and supporting information. Follows the case study outline for content and organization. All elements of the case study are present. Shows knowledge of disease process and medications. Recommend appropriate interventions.	The content is written concise but may be unclear. Some parts of the case study lose a logical progression of ideas and may miss supporting information. Follows the case study outline for content and organization most of the time. Shows knowledge of disease process & medications. Recommend appropriate interventions most of the time.	The content is written unclearly with illogical progression of ideas and supporting information. Follows the case study outline for content and organization most of the time. Shows that doesn't have a clear understanding of disease process. May or may not recommend appropriate interventions.	5	6	30
Comments:						
MNT/Calculations:	Apply evidence-based guidelines in the Nutrition Care Process. Justify choice of MNT based on evidence. Nutrient calculations are accurate. Accurate analysis of previous diet is included.	Missed some elements of the Nutrition Care Process. MNT correct but one of the nutrient calculations is inaccurate. Analysis of previous diet is included with some inaccuracies.	No evidence of using the best MNT. 2 or more calculations are incorrect. Analysis of previous diet is missing.	5	6	30
Comments:						
Text Elements/Mechanics:	The fonts are easy to read. The layout is visually pleasing and contributes to overall message. The text is written with one error or less in grammar, capitalization, punctuation, and spelling. Cover page with title is present.	The fonts are easy to read. The layout is good. The text is written with 2-3 errors in grammar, capitalization, punctuation, and spelling. Cover page is incomplete	The fonts are not easy to read. The layout is average. The text is written with more than 3 errors in grammar, capitalization, punctuation, and spelling. No cover page	3	2	6
Comments:						
Research/Citations:	Evaluate emerging research for application of dietetic practice. Research of disease process is complete. Sources of information are properly cited and not more than 5 years old (exception a classic citation) There are 10 or more citations for the major case study and 5 citations for the minor case study	Incomplete evaluation of research. Sources of information are properly cited and not more than 5 years old. Missing 2 citations for major case study & 1 for minor case study.	Missed major evaluations of research. Sources of information are not properly cited or some citations are older than 5 years. Missing more than 2 citations for major case study & more than 1 for minor case study.	5	5	25
Comments:						
Total score:						94 %

*see Case Study Outline for content. There is going to be a 10% deduction for turning in later than due date. Passing grade is 80%

(Please include corrected case study with rubric)

Preceptor's Signature Sandra Monds Date: 4-29-15

Intern's Signature _____ Date: _____